Table 5. Family Changes from the Framework for Outcomes in Clinical commUnication Services (FOCUS)

Family Change	Description	Example Measures
Categories ^a		
Family communication	Open communication of accurate information that is	Self-reported family communication of test results
[1, 2]	understood by family members is necessary for family	
	members to make their own informed decisions and	Family Communication Questionnaire (FCQ) [3]
	lead to improved health outcomes for family members.	
		Openness to Discuss Hereditary Cancer in the Family Scale [4]
Family members	Family member awareness of, interest in, and uptake of	Proportion of all at-risk, adult relatives of a patient with
access appropriate	appropriate medical services.	hereditary cancer who are aware of and who receive genetic risk
services		assessment services.
		Proportion of family members of a person with a benign variant
		or variant of uncertain significance (VUS) who have <i>clinical</i>
		testing unnecessarily.
Family functioning	Patient health can change family functioning, roles,	Psychosocial Adjustment to Hereditary Diseases: Burden of
and relationships [1, 2]	relationships, tension or conflict.	knowing and family connectedness [5]
		Family adaptability and cohesion scale (FACES III) [6]-[9]
		Cancer family impact scale [10]
		Index of Family Relations [11]
		index of Funity Relations [11]
Reduced caregiver	Caregivers within the family face substantial stressors	Measure developed for caregivers of patients with Alzheimer's
burden & stress	and may benefit from the provision of support	[12]
	resources or services. Caregiver burden may also	
	decrease if positive patient changes occur.	
Family members'	Changes in the health or well-being of the family	See Patient Health (Table 4)
health and well-being	members as the result of other family changes above.	

a. Changes among the patient's family as an indirect result of health services provided to a patient. These are important because they can lead to improved health outcomes among family members.

References

- [1] M. McAllister, K. Payne, S. Nicholls, R. MacLeod, D. Donnai, and L. M. Davies, "Improving service evaluation in clinical genetics: identifying effects of genetic diseases on individuals and families.," *J. Genet. Couns.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 71–83, Feb. 2007. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17295055
- [2] K. Payne, S. G. Nicholls, M. McAllister, R. MacLeod, I. Ellis, D. Donnai, and L. M. Davies, "Outcome measures for clinical genetics services: a comparison of genetics healthcare professionals and patients' views.," *Health Policy*, vol. 84, no. 1, pp. 112–22, Nov. 2007. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17485130
- [3] C. Hughes, C. Lerman, M. Schwartz, B. N. Peshkin, L. Wenzel, S. Narod, C. Corio, K. P. Tercyak, D. Hanna, C. Isaacs, and D. Main, "All in the family: evaluation of the process and content of sisters' communication about BRCA1 and BRCA2 genetic test results.," *Am. J. Med. Genet.*, vol. 107, no. 2, pp. 143–50, Jan. 2002. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11807889
- [4] I. Mesters, H. van den Borne, L. McCormick, J. Pruyn, M. de Boer, and T. Imbos, "Openness to discuss cancer in the nuclear family: scale, development, and validation.," *Psychosom. Med.*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 269–79, 1997. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9178338
- [5] K. E. Watkins, C. Y. Way, D. M. Gregory, H. M. LeDrew, V. C. Ludlow, M. J. Esplen, J. J. Dowden, J. E. Cox, G. W. N. Fitzgerald, and P. S. Parfrey, "Development and preliminary testing of the psychosocial adjustment to hereditary diseases scale.," *BMC Psychol.*, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 7, 2013. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25566359
- [6] "FACES IV," *Prepare/enrich*. [Online]. Available: http://www.facesiv.com/
- [7] D. H. Olson, "Circumplex Model VII: validation studies and FACES III.," *Fam. Process*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 337–51, Sep. 1986. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3758310
- [8] J. A. Baptist, D. E. Thompson, A. M. Norton, N. R. Hardy, and C. D. Link, "The effects of the interenerational transmission of family emotional processes on conflict styles: the moderating role of attachment," *Am. J. Fam. Ther.*, no. 40, pp. 56–73, 2012. http://www.buildingrelationships.com/facesiv_studies/baptist.pdf
- [9] D. Olson, "Faces IV and the circumplex model: validation study," *J. Marital Fam. Theraop*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 64–80, 2011. http://www.buildingrelationships.com/facesiv_studies/Validation_Study_JMFT_2011.pdf
- [10] P. S. Sinicrope, S. W. Vernon, P. M. Diamond, C. A. Patten, S. H. Kelder, K. G. Rabe, and G. M. Petersen, "Development and preliminary validation of the cancer family impact scale for colorectal cancer.," *Genet. Test.*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 161–9, Mar. 2008. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18373413
- [11] R. D. Hoge, D. A. Andrews, P. Faulkner, and D. Robinson, "The Family Relationship Index: validity data.," *J. Clin. Psychol.*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 897–903, Nov. 1989. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2613899
- [12] K. L. Davis, D. B. Marin, R. Kane, D. Patrick, E. R. Peskind, M. A. Raskind, and K. L. Puder, "The Caregiver Activity Survey (CAS): development and validation of a new measure for caregivers of persons with Alzheimer's disease.," *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry*, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 978–88, Oct. 1997. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9395929